



**Site Allocations and Development Management (SADM)  
Policies Plan:  
Consultation on proposed revised Open Spaces section  
  
REPRESENTATION FORM**

For office use  
Reference No:  
  
Date received:

**Please use this form to make Representations and return it to Hertsmere Borough Council by 5pm on MONDAY 21 MARCH 2016**

**By email:** local.plan@hertsmere.gov.uk

**By post:** Policy and Transport Team, Planning and Building Control, Hertsmere Borough Council, Civic Offices, Elstree Way, Borehamwood, Herts, WD6 1WA.

**PLEASE READ THE GUIDANCE NOTES AT THE END BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM. THEY EXPLAIN THE TERMS USED AND WILL HELP YOU MAKE YOUR REPRESENTATION(S)**  
**Representations must only relate to the revised Open Spaces section which is proposed to replace the Green Spaces section in the Submitted version of the Plan. This includes revisions to Policies SADM35 Major Green Space and SADM36 Local Green Space and the sites to which the revised policies are proposed to apply.**  
**Details are available on the Council's website**  
<https://www.hertsmere.gov.uk/openspaceconsultation>  
**and at Deposit Points across the Borough.**  
**THERE IS NO NEED TO REPEAT REPRESENTATIONS THAT YOU SUBMITTED AT PRE-SUBMISSION STAGE (AUGUST/SEPTEMBER 2015)**


This form has three parts:

**Part A** – Personal details (only needed once)

**Part B** – Your representation (use a separate Part B for each representation you make).

**Part C** – What information you want to receive about future progress of SADM

<b>Part A</b>	<b>1. Personal details*</b>	<b>2. Agent details (if applicable)</b>
Title	Mrs	
First name	Julie	
Last name	Steer	
Job title (if relevant)		
Organisation (if relevant)		
Address	[REDACTED]	
Post Code	[REDACTED]	
Telephone	[REDACTED]	
Email address	[REDACTED]	



\*If an agent is appointed, please enter the person and/or organisation being represented in column 1 and complete all contact details in column 2.

**Please note that all representations received will be made publicly available and cannot be treated as confidential.**



**Part B**

For office use only

Ref No:

support:

object:

change:

**IMPORTANT: Please use a separate Part B form for each representation**

Name or organisation:

**3. To which part of the proposed revised section on Open Spaces does this representation relate?**

Policy SADM35

Site (Specify)

Policy SADM36

Supporting text (Specify paragraph(s))

**7.11 -7.15  
Pages 53-54  
Paddock**

**4a. In relation to the part of the revised Open Spaces section of the Plan you identified in 3, do you consider the Plan to be Legally compliant? (see Guidance Notes for what this means)**

(Please tick which boxes apply)

Yes

No

No comment to make

**4b. In relation to the part of the Plan you identified in 3, do you consider the Plan to be Sound? (see Guidance Notes for what this means)**

Yes

No

No comment to make

**If you have entered 'No' to 4(b), please continue to Q5. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6.**

**5. If you consider the revised Open Spaces section of the Plan to be unsound is this because it is not:**

5(a) Positively prepared

(Please tick which box(es) apply)

5(b) Justified

5(c) Effective

5(d) Consistent with national policy

**(see Guidance Notes for what these terms mean)**

**6. If you consider the revised Open Spaces section of the Plan to be unsound, please give details of why (having regard to the criteria you ticked at 5 above). Please be as precise as possible.**

**If you wish to comment in support of the revised Open Spaces section of the Plan or wish to make any other comment related to this section, please also use this box.**

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

7.11

The Council's Open Space Study (Document EB25, Chapter 6)' *indicates that there is a general deficit of Parks and Gardens provision in the Bushey area which adds to the importance of the site as an existing open space in the area.*

This statement is unsound as it is clear that this area of Bushey Heath is not short of open spaces as Reveley Lodge Gardens are directly opposite. (See attached aerial view.)

Reveley Lodge has been visited by hundreds of local children over the last few years as part of the Bushey Museum Education program. It is open every weekday and casual visitors drop in regularly as do art and gardening groups. The Paddocks in contrast is, as the Council states, *'not necessarily or currently freely accessible to the general public,'* and is not visited regularly by the wide variety of Bushey residents that visit Reveley Lodge.

The Green Spaces and Amenity Land Report 2012 page 10 looks at the reasons for preserving local green spaces. These suggest two categories as follows

*'Cultural and Heritage*

*Some Green spaces have a historical value- Historic buildings or Historic gardens-symbol of the area.*

*Amenity benefits and sense of place, helps to create a specific neighbourhood, provides an important landmark, clearly visible from most areas'*

It is Reveley Lodge that provides both the historical context and the local landmark rather than the Paddocks. The Paddocks is not clearly visible from the surrounding area because of the thick hedges and trees around it. As the Bushey Heath Residents point out in their submission, it is the house and garden party that attracted hundreds of local people every year page 5 BHRA, not the Paddocks.

**7. Please set out as precisely as possibly what change(s) to the revised Open Spaces section of the Plan you consider necessary to make the Plan sound (having regard to the criteria you ticked at 5 above).**

**You will need to say why this change will make the Plan sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.**

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

The Plan can be made sound by removing the proposed Local Green Space (LGS) designation for the Paddock for the SADM.

***Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity for further submissions based***

on the representation you are currently making. After this current stage, further submissions will only be able to be made at the Inspector's request, based on the matters and issues he identifies for Examination.

8. If your representation is seeking a modification/change to the revised Open Spaces section of the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination (the Hearing)?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral Examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral Examination

9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

*Please note: the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination. Please note written representations carry the same weight as those made orally at a hearing.*

Signature:

[Redacted Signature]

Date:

March 17

Julie Steer




Part C (Only needed once)

Name (Print):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If you wish to be informed of the recommendations of the Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State to carry out the Independent Examination of the Plan, please tick this box.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If you wish to be informed of the adoption of the Plan by Hertsmere Borough Council please tick this box.
<input type="checkbox"/>	If you no longer wish to receive communications from the Council on SADM please tick this box.





The Paddock- no public access- no view inside from surrounding area because of trees

Reveley Lodge gardens- open every weekday